

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/507,539	09/13/2004	Hiroshi Morita	04556/HG	1385
1933	7590 10/24/2006		EXAMINER	
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC			THEISEN, DOUGLAS J	
220 Fifth Ave	enue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK	IEW YORK, NY 10001-7708		1724	
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			~
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/507,539	MORITA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Douglas J. Theisen	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: c, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 O</u>	ctober 2006.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	nce except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o			
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 September 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square odrawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in App rity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 091304, 100606.		nmary (PTO-413) fail Date mal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

The Examiner acknowledged applicants' claim for priority under 35 USC 119 and receipt of the certified copy of the priority document in the Office Action mailed on 8 September 2006.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on 3 October 2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. Claims 5 and 6 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 3 October 2006.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 2 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The phrase "wherein the main stream liquid is pure water or ultrapure water" is not a structural limitation of the apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1724

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japan publication number 11-138182A to Morita. Morita describes an apparatus for continuous dissolution which comprises a dissolution portion for dissolving a gas into a main stream liquid (ozone dissolution equipment 12), the apparatus further comprising a flow meter which measures a flow rate of the main stream liquid and outputs a signal of a value obtained by the measurement (flow meter 14), a mechanism for controlling a flow rate which controls an amount of supply of the gas based on the signal input from the flow meter (controller 16) and an apparatus for degassing the main stream liquid which is disposed at a position at an upstream of the dissolution portion for dissolving a gas (tank 1 and exhauster style 10, "gases other than the oxygen generated by decomposition of the ozone which did not dissolve in ultrapure water into the tank, and ozone, and the ozone contained in ozone content gas can be discharged out of the a tank"), wherein the main stream liquid is ultra-pure water. Morita describes a process for continuous dissolution which comprises continuously dissolving a gas (in dissolution equipment 12) or a gas (in dissolution equipment 12) and an other liquid (with acid cistern 19) into a main stream liquid, wherein the main stream liquid is degassed (in tank 1) before the gas is dissolved and an amount of supply of the gas is controlled based on a flow rate (flow meter 14 and controller 16) of the main stream liquid after the degassing. See figure 1 and machine translation, detailed description, pp. 3-4, paragraphs 6-8.

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan publication number 11-138182A to Morita as applied to claims 1, 2, and 4 above, and further in view of Japan publication number 2001-085304 to Matsunaga. Morita describes an apparatus for continuous dissolution which comprises a dissolution portion for dissolving a gas into a main stream liquid (ozone dissolution equipment 12), the apparatus further comprising a flow meter which measures a flow rate of the main stream liquid and outputs a signal of a value obtained by the measurement (flow meter 14), a mechanism for controlling a flow rate which controls an amount of supply of the gas based on the signal input from the flow meter (controller 16) and an apparatus for degassing the main stream liquid which is disposed at a position at an upstream of the dissolution portion for dissolving a gas (tank 1 and exhauster style 10, "gases other than the oxygen generated by decomposition of the ozone which did not dissolve in ultrapure water into the tank, and ozone, and the ozone contained in ozone content gas can be discharged out of the a tank"), wherein the main stream liquid is ultra-pure water. Morita does not describe a means for injecting an other liquid into the main stream liquid and controls an amount of addition of the other liquid based on the signal. Matsunaga describes a means for injecting an other liquid (from additive tank 3 or 31a) into the main stream liquid (solvent tank 2 or 21-pure water) and controls

Art Unit: 1724

an amount of addition of the other liquid based on the signal of flow rate of the main stream liquid (flow meter 14b for the water, flow meter 14c for the additive, and CPU 6). See figures 1 and 2 and machine translation, detailed description p. 4, paragraph 36 and pp. 5-6, paragraphs 40-50. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide Morita with a flow meter and controller on an other liquid (acid cistern 19) in order to control the addition of the other liquid based on the signal of the flow rate of the main stream liquid.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas J. Theisen whose telephone number is 571-272-1168. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday 6:30 until 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

DUANE SMITH PRIMARY EXAMINER

16-23-00

Application/Control Number: 10/507,539

Art Unit: 1724

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.